



## Time for Impact Divesting: NGOs Launch “Global Coal Exit List” for Finance Industry

*November 9, 2017* At the UN Climate Summit today in Bonn, the German environment NGO Urgewald and its partners published the “Global Coal Exit List” (GCEL), a comprehensive database of companies participating in the thermal coal value chain. While most coal databases used by the finance industry only cover around 100 companies, the GCEL provides key statistics on **over 770 companies** whose activities range from coal exploration and mining, coal trading and transport, to coal power generation and manufacturing of coal plants.<sup>1</sup> The database and charts on the coal industry can be viewed at: [www.coalexit.org](http://www.coalexit.org)

“We developed the GCEL to provide the finance industry with a **concise list of companies that should be divested**,” says Heffa Schuecking, director of Urgewald. “Keeping to a 1.5°C pathway will be impossible unless banks and investors make a speedy and full exit from investments in the coal industry.”

But to do so, they must know who the industry is. “It is not always easy to identify coal companies. They can hide behind names like ‘Lemur Resources’, ‘Silver Unicorn Trading’ or ‘Africa China Sunlight Energy’,” explains Schuecking. “**Our research shows that the universe of companies with significant coal-related business is much larger than investors think.** Effective divestment from the coal industry requires solid company-based data and this is what the Global Coal Exit List provides.”

The GCEL provides key statistics on companies’ annual coal production and coal share of revenue, their installed coal-fired capacity and coal share of power production. These statistics were drawn from original company sources such as annual reports, investor presentations and company websites. **All in all, the companies listed in the GCEL represent over 88% of world coal production and 86% of the world’s coal-fired capacity.** As Sylvain Vanston from the Corporate Responsibility Division of the insurance company AXA says: “The GCEL is amongst the most thorough coal databases we have seen. It is a **great tool for investors** who want to move their portfolios away from coal.”

### The First Forward-Looking Divestment Tool

A unique feature of the GCEL is the fact that it also provides information on companies’ plans to expand coal mining or develop new coal-fired power stations. It is thus the first “forward-looking” coal divestment tool. The GCEL identifies **225 companies that are planning to expand coal mining and 282 companies that are planning new coal-fired power stations.**<sup>2</sup> “We’ve discovered that a significant portion of these companies are not traditional coal industry players,” says Schuecking. A typical example is

---

<sup>1</sup> Out of the 775 companies featured in the GCEL 218 mine coal, 214 operate coal plants, and 110 operate both coal mines and coal power plants. The remaining 233 companies provide various services throughout the coal value chain.

<sup>2</sup> These numbers overlap as 70 companies are planning both new coal mines and new coal power plants. The total number of companies with expansion plans in the coal industry is thus 437.

Marubeni – a huge diversified Japanese trading house, but also the world’s 26<sup>th</sup> largest coal plant developer with plans to build over 5,800 MW of new coal plants in 9 countries. As Bill McKibben, the founder of 350.org says: “It’s astonishing that by 2017 there’s anyone left in the world who wants to keep building coal-fired power plants – there really isn’t a more destructive path they could be taking. It’s a good thing, that there is now a list of precisely who’s behind these plans.”

## The Coal Universe is Diverse

In contrast to most other coal databases, the GCEL is not limited to coal miners and coal-based utilities, but also lists **over 200 so-called ‘service’ companies**. “The universe of coal-based business models is very diverse,” says Schuecking. “It includes companies whose major business is coal exploration, processing coal, producing specialized equipment for coal mining, transporting or trading coal, converting coal to oil or gas, manufacturing equipment for coal power stations and many other activities along the thermal coal value chain. These companies should be divested along with coal mining and coal power companies,” she says.

Among the ‘service’ companies featured in the GCEL are Australia’s largest coal transporter, Aurizon, and the Chinese company Harbin Electric, which is the world’s largest coal plant manufacturer. Such ‘service’ companies often play a key role for the expansion of the coal industry. Whether Botswana, Northern Mongolia or Central Borneo are opened up for coal production hinges on the development of coal railways built by transport companies. And coal equipment suppliers and manufacturers of coal-fired power plants often play an important part in securing finance for these plants.

## Size Matters

Even progressive investors generally only exclude companies that derive a significant percentage of their revenues from coal mining or coal power. 50% or 30% are the most commonly used thresholds.<sup>3</sup> But such percentage criteria only measure the relative importance of a company’s coal operations to its overall business. The impact a company has on our climate, however, depends on the **absolute size of its coal operations**. The GCEL therefore not only maps out which companies have a coal share of revenue or coal share of power generation above 30%, it also lists all companies which produce **over 20 million tons** of coal annually or operate **more than 10,000 MW** of coal-fired capacity.<sup>4</sup> “If we are serious about limiting global warming to 1.5°C, percentage criteria are simply not enough. Divestment actions must also be based on absolute thresholds that exclude all of the top coal producers and top coal plant operators,” says Schuecking.

Out of the 328 coal miners profiled in the GCEL, **30 companies account for over half of the world’s annual coal production**. Many of these top coal producers are not

---

<sup>3</sup> CalSTRS in the US and France’s AXA base their divestment actions on a 50% coal revenue threshold. CalSTRS, however, only applies this threshold to coal miners and not to coal power companies. Germany’s Allianz and the Norwegian Government Pension Fund divest companies if over 30% of their revenues or power generation are based on coal.

<sup>4</sup> The absolute threshold the GCEL applies is very high: 20 million tons is the entire annual coal consumption of a country like Italy. As not all utilities report on their coal consumption, however, the GCEL also uses a 10,000 MW threshold. Utilities with an installed coal capacity of 10,000 MW invariably burn over 20 million tons of coal per year.

captured by the percentage criteria investors base divestment actions on. Only 11 of these 30 companies have a coal share of revenue, which is above 50%. And only 20 have a coal share of revenue above 30%.

Out of the 324 coal plant operators profiled in the GCEL, **the top 31 companies own over half of the world's installed coal-fired capacity**. Almost a third of these companies, however, have a coal share of power generation, which is less than 50%. "As even the most progressive bank policies only exclude companies if 50% or more of their power generation is coal-fired, new standards need to be adopted. Investments in top coal plant operators are invariably investments in a 4°C world," says Yann Louvel from the NGO BankTrack.

## **A Who is Who of Companies Driving Coal Expansion**

Climate scientists concur that we need a speedy exit from coal-fired power generation, yet there are currently still over 1,600 new coal plants in the pipeline.<sup>5</sup> If built, these would expand the world's coal-fired power capacity by a staggering 42.7%. The GCEL identifies 87% of the companies behind these plans, but it also shows the need for a new approach to coal divestment. Out of the top 120 companies planning new coal power stations, only about half have a coal share of power production above 30%.

**"Standard investor approaches to decarbonize their portfolios are far too slow, and fail to capture most of the companies pushing forward this frightening pipeline of new coal projects,"** says Schuecking. "The first step banks and investors need to take is to stop investing in companies planning new coal-fired infrastructure and coal mines. The GCEL provides a comprehensive list of who those companies are," she adds.

"Climate change is already having devastating impacts from Pakistan to the Philippines and each new coal plant brings us closer to the point of no return," says Lidy Nacpil from the Asian People's Movement on Debt and Development. "The finance industry needs to take note that every dollar it invests into coal plant developers such as NTPC, KEPCO, Marubeni or PowerChina is a vote for run-away climate change."

## **Impact Divesting from the Coal Industry**

"Most coal divestment actions cover only a small part of the industry and fall short of what is needed to truly align investors' portfolios with the 1.5°C goal set out in the Paris Climate Agreement," says Schuecking. The GCEL is based on the idea that investors need to:

- **Blacklist companies which are driving the expansion of the coal industry,**
- **Apply a 30% threshold to the full range of companies operating along the thermal coal value chain,**
- **Adopt absolute thresholds that also exclude diversified companies if the sheer size of their coal operations puts them among the top coal plant operators and top coal producers.**

---

<sup>5</sup> These numbers are based on CoalSwarm's „Global Coal Plant Tracker“, which maps all proposed and existing coal-fired power stations worldwide.

“The GCEL is a practical tool that enables financial institutions to identify the ‘coal content’ of their portfolios, to avoid new coal investments and to accelerate their departure from the industry. We hope it will be widely used,” concludes Schuecking. **“A speedy exit from coal investments by the finance industry is not just a question of avoiding stranded assets, but of maintaining a livable world.”**

### **Contact:**

Moritz Schroeder, Urgewald’s communications director:  
[moritz@urgewald.org](mailto:moritz@urgewald.org) or +49 176-64079965

### **Further Information:**

**[Interesting Facts & Stats around the Global Coal Industry](#)**

**[A Regional and Country Overview of the Coal Industry](#)**

The Global Coal Exit List and associated graphics are available at **[www.coalexit.org](http://www.coalexit.org)**